## **Verify WLAN RF Performance**

Uncover issues with the Keysight E7515W UXM wireless connectivity test platform



## **Test WLAN Designs with Confidence**

For Wi-Fi<sup>®</sup> design, both access point (AP) and client, the correct test approach is to focus on the radio frequency (RF) level first and work up the protocol stack. Issues with RF performance affect all testing at the higher layers, so it is vital to understand the particularities of that operation before determining whether high-level tests are consistent. RF testing enables isolation of the RF layer transmit (Tx) and receive (Rx) operation.

Testing RF performance with signaling emulates how a device under test (DUT) behaves in the real world. A focus on Tx and Rx performance is vital to ensure expected end-user behavior.

Keysight has solutions for analyzing Wi-Fi Tx and Rx operation with signaling:

- A platform and software solutions dedicated to Wi-Fi RF testing with signaling.
- Full coverage of Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Wi-Fi technology, including 802.11be (Wi-Fi 7).
- Measurement of rate versus range (RvR), throughput, transmitted power, spectral quality, modulation quality, and receiver sensitivity.
- An easy-to-use automation tool based on IEEE measurement definitions.

Figure 1 shows the Keysight E7515W UXM wireless connectivity test platform using the Keysight S8714A UXM 5G RF application.



**Figure 1.** Keysight E7515W UXM wireless connectivity test platform using the Keysight S8714A RF application for Wi-Fi RF analysis



## **Analyze Transmitter RF Performance**

Understanding the transmissions of an AP or client is essential to Wi-Fi design. Good RF performance during transmission minimizes errors when decoding the received signal. Building on good RF transmit performance is the RvR test, which measures the correct operation and efficiency of the rate adaptation algorithm.

Several measurements are useful for analyzing transmitter RF performance. Power level and flatness over time, spectral quality, and modulation quality are typical. Characterizing transmitter performance overall power levels and frequency bands is necessary and often requires repetitive testing, best implemented with automation.

#### **Transmitter power measurements**

Transmitted power is a key specification for wireless devices. Defining limits on the maximum transmitted power and the power envelope of a transmitted signal minimizes interference with other transmissions — for example, in a shared frequency band.

The transmit spectrum mask measurement helps characterize the shape of the power in each transmitted signal. Limits placed at several parts of the signal define the required envelope. AP or clients that transmit outside the mask may cause interference, so finding and resolving transmission issues is essential.

To characterize transmitted power performance, the engineer configures the AP or client to transmit at the desired power. This level depends upon the type of testing and troubleshooting required. A common configuration has the DUT transmitting at maximum or minimum power at the peak of the burst, as these levels often stress the DUT's performance and identify potential issues.

The DUT connects directly to the test equipment in-cable to minimize loss and error during the measurement. Over-the-air (OTA) transmissions introduce complicating RF channel characteristics.

Stability during burst or frequency transitions can cause the peak of the mask to slope or have an initial narrow burst of power after the switch from off to on. Another typical failure occurs when a narrow burst of power happens during the off period, possibly caused by poor grounding that leads to leakage during device transmission or by images created during frequency conversion.



Figure 2 shows an example of transmit spectrum mask results. The mask and limits, outlined on the graph, appear in the table. This Wi-Fi 6E client transmits within the mask and passes the test.



Figure 2. Example of spectrum emission mask measurement results for a Wi-Fi 6E client

#### **Transmitter modulation quality measurements**

Modulation quality is another essential measure of transmitter performance. Ensuring precise transmission with different orders of modulation minimizes errors during signal reception. Correctly decoding a Wi-Fi signal at the receiver is difficult when modulation quality is poor.

Several measurements characterize modulation quality. Error vector magnitude and its constellation diagram are especially important with Wi-Fi 6 using up to 1024 QAM and Wi-Fi 7 using up to 4,096 QAM. A constellation diagram with imprecisely located signals makes decoding the transmitted signal at the receiver difficult. The cause may be noise floor or phase noise issues with the design. Frequency conversions in the design may cause excessive errors in the center or symbol clock frequency.



Figure 3 shows an example constellation diagram and important results from a transmitter modulation quality measurement.

	Standard 802.11ax MIMO Type 1x1	Band 5 GHz Bandwidth 160 MHz	Primary Char   36 5180.0   Center Chann 50   50 5250.0	inel Tx Burst 00 MHz -35.00 iel Expecte 00 MHz 0.0 dBi	: Power dBm d Power m			DUT IPv4: Info. IPv6:	) O O C	00	AP
								WIFI			
Measurement PER Meas DUT		DUT Info.	Statistics	Throughput			SSID			Disconnect	
	Port: RFIO6 Freq: 5250 MHz		#EIP: 0 dBm	Measuring ()		UXM_87118		Link	Load		
-\$• \$ (	<u>ô</u>					roquing O	Mode	Connection Mo Manual	de	AD	Configuration
1 70		IQ Meas	Time				WLAN	Data Frame C	ontrol	AP	Save
1./0					EVM	-33.31 dB		Frame Config		Packet	Configuration
1.43					EVM Peak	-25.50 dB		Auto		Gen.	
					EVM Pilot	-32.83 dB	Meas Select	L			Preset
1.07				EVM Data	-33.32 dB				MAC/IP		
0.71					Freq Err(Hz)	177.78 Hz	ccEVM State	Frame Trigge	Filter		
-				Freq Err(Ppm)	33.86 mppm	Off Off	Burst Type	Bandwidth	Security		
0.36					Symbol Clk Err	174.36 mppm	Auxilary Port	HE SU	All	,	
	<b>FFFF</b>		Equal and 17		Average Burst Power	-3.95 dBm	RFIO 3	Data Rate		]	
0.00					Peak Burst Power	5.99 dBm	Average Count	All			
-0.36				<b>1133</b> 3	Center Freq Leakage	-43.80 dB	1	Nss			
	<b>16176</b>				IQ Gain Imbalance	-23.93 mdB		All			
-0.71				Quadrature Error	-0.02 °						
-1.07 -	-1.07				Time Offset	13.19 us					
		COLUMNIE.		<b>Hebro</b>	MCS Index	11	0				
-1.43					Data Rate	1.20 kMbps					Scenario
-1 70					CC EVM	dB					Help
-1.78 -	-1.36 -1.02 -	0.68 -0.34 (	0.00 0.34 0.68	1.02 1.36	Badio Format	11ar	Restart				
					Radio Tormat	TION					System

Figure 3. Example transmitter modulation quality results from a client using 1024 QAM

#### **Transmitter spectral quality measurements**

Characterizing a Wi-Fi design's performance over frequency is essential to ensuring efficient operation and minimizing interference. Wi-Fi designs typically use the spectral flatness measurement, although occupied bandwidth (OBW) results are sometimes useful.

Poor spectral performance causes signal leakage that could interfere with other transmissions. Inefficient spectral behavior, with transmissions outside expected frequencies, can also cause issues with the burst timing and envelope of a Wi-Fi design.

Typical failures are spurs, images, or harmonics caused by poor grounding, poor noise suppression, or errors during frequency conversion in the design.



Figures 4 and 5 show examples of spectral flatness and OBW results for a Wi-Fi client.



Figure 4. Example 802.11ax spectral flatness results



Figure 5. Example Wi-Fi 7 OBW results

#### **RvR** measurement for an AP

RvR is a test of a DUT — a Wi-Fi client or AP — run in a lab environment that shows rate (transmitted data User Datagram Protocol throughput) versus range (over distance). This testing occurs with the DUT connected to a reference client or AP through a variable attenuator. For each increasing decibel (dB) step of the attenuator (increasing path loss), traffic runs from the DUT to the reference device, and the rate gets recorded. Path loss in decibels is preferred rather than distance (m) because the relationship between path loss and range is complex in practice and dictated by a variety of environmental factors.

RvR, often misunderstood as a test of Tx RF quality, best serves to isolate and allow assessment of transmit rate adaptation. As the simulated distance increases, the device will have to reduce the rate to adapt the modulation coding scheme (MCS), allowing data transmission with higher path loss / lower signal-to-noise ratio at the reference device receiver. This rate adaptation could be suboptimal, with MCS rates being too low or too high or showing excessive MCS switching. Each distance will have an optimal MCS choice of transmission. In practice, rate adaptation inefficiency will cause lower throughput, higher latencies, and degradation of application quality of experience.

Keysight has a unique RvR test mechanism that provides objective Tx rate adaptation in one test. This occurs without a variable attenuator. Keysight simulates increasing attenuation by increasing the packet error rate (PER) and not returning acknowledgment signals (ACKs), matching a preprogrammed table. As the PER increases, the DUT will adapt to altering its throughput. This process is graphed for that decibel point, and then the next step is taken.



The strength of this approach is that engineers can compare the RvR results against the optimal theoretical data throughput achievable under the Wi-Fi configuration in the preprogrammed table. This is an upper limit. For example, in 802.11ax 4x4 MIMO 80 MHz (996-tone) MCS 11, 0.8 us GI with 100% ACK rate, the maximum theoretical PHY rate from the specification is 2401.9 Mbps. However, what is of interest is the maximum achievable data rate. This rate is lower than the specification provided PHY rate because of uncounted packet headers that may vary in size, short interframe spacing / distributed interframe spacing intervals, ACK transmissions, suboptimal a single MAC protocol data unit / an aggregated MAC protocol data unit settings, and other factors. Keysight is unique in providing this objective information, with calculations performed at each step for each MCS as the ACK rate decreases.

The Keysight RvR test provides an objective assessment of the isolated DUT Tx rate adaptation operation. It enables debugging of DUT problems (for example, hysteresis and rate adaptation logic) through unexpected spikes or dips in the rate adaptation results.

#### **Characterize Receiver Performance**

Understanding how well the receiver of a Wi-Fi AP or client decodes a signal is essential to Wi-Fi design. To receive the correct information, capturing and decoding the signals accurately is crucial.

Several measurements are useful for analyzing receiver performance. Sensitivity of the receiver to varying power levels, signal throughput with maximum received power, received PER, and RvR are typical. Characterizing receiver performance over all power levels and frequency bands is necessary and often requires repetitive testing, best implemented with automation.

#### **Receiver PER measurements**

Analyzing the accuracy of the packets received is another measure of a receiver's performance. The PER measurement provides a ratio of correctly received packets to all received packets.

Errors in reception and signal decoding lead to incorrect information provided to the end user and possible connection failure. Poor PER performance may result from poor Rx sensitivity or decoding errors.



#### **Receiver sensitivity measurements**

Characterizing the ability of a receiver to correctly detect and decode a signal transmitted at all power levels and MCS rates is vital to understanding the receiver's performance. Rx sensitivity measures this and determines at which power level a receiver can no longer detect the signal.

Reception and signal decoding flaws lead to frame errors, causing retransmission, reduced throughput, and possible connection failure.

To measure Rx sensitivity, the network emulator transmits Wi-Fi signals starting at the maximum power level and continuing until the receiver can no longer detect the signal. Usually, graphing the results is the best way to show where the receiver's sensitivity begins to worsen. These results come from automation of the PER versus power results across all MCS rates and system configurations. See Figure 6.



Poor dynamic range or noise floor in the Wi-Fi design may cause poor Rx sensitivity.

Figure 6. Graphed receiver sensitivity overall modulations versus throughput

#### Characterize receiver performance with automation

Automated receiver testing is quick and easy with the Keysight E7515W UXM wireless connectivity platform, S8714A RF application, and S8703A functional key performance index (KPI) toolset. Users can evaluate Rx sensitivity, RvR, and data throughput and troubleshoot issues early in the design workflow.

Root Node ✓ Pow Tx Burst Power -40 dBr RA Check WLan Co RA Config WLan Frame Trigge Frame Format 20MH: RA Config WLan Packet G Packet Ba Data Rate BPSK 1/2 6Mbp RA Get Wian DUT Info ✓ PER Packet RA WLAN AP Mode Alf Ch PNS 1000 RA WI AN IP Tout M 1000 RA WLAN IP Tout Test ☑ 20 % RA WLAN PER Start RA WLAN Setup RA WLAN Sup ted Rate Co RA WLan Tx Mea RA WLAN VXT-M9421A Me WLAN Ass WLAN Cell Activatio WLAN Cell Mode Switch

Figure 7 lists the functional KPI WLAN performance test cases.

Figure 7. Receiver test cases and example PER result using functional KPI toolset



## **Evaluate Throughput Performance**

Throughput is a measure of how much information a system can transmit and receive. It is an essential specification for all types of wireless devices and is frequently used to promote network performance.

Poor throughput leads to dissatisfied end users and, eventually, connection failures. Poor Rx sensitivity, low transmitted power, and poor signal quality are some causes of low throughput.

Therefore, it is important to characterize throughput overall power levels, frequencies, and modulation types to ensure optimal performance. Automated testing is the most efficient method to cover these test scenarios and identify the corner cases where designs are most likely to fail, such as at band edges or low power levels.

Throughput measurement typically occurs at the receiver by transmitting signals with a network emulator. As the signal transmits, a graph shows throughput over time. The signal characteristics are varied while observing the throughput results. Once both downlink and uplink throughput meet requirements, engineers can test bidirectional throughput using uplink and downlink at the same time. This process can expose MAC scheduling issues that occur when one side is dominant.

Figure 8 shows an example of throughput results.



Figure 8. Example throughput graph for IEEE 802.11ax



# Verify Performance of WLAN Designs to IEEE Standards

IEEE defines tests for Wi-Fi designs. The test cases are similar to those described previously and cover the full range of Wi-Fi technologies. Keysight solutions using the E7515W UXM wireless connectivity platform, the S8714A RF application, and the S8702A RF automation toolset make it easy to automate RF test cases based on IEEE definitions and provide flexibility for more in-depth testing.

Test case	Description							
IEEE 802.11a measurements								
17.3.9.2	Transmit power levels							
17.3.9.3	Transmit spectrum mask							
17.3.9.5	Transmit center frequency tolerance							
17.3.9.6	Symbol clock frequency tolerance							
17.3.9.7.2	Transmitter center frequency leakage							
17.3.9.7.3	Transmitter spectral flatness							
17.3.9.7.4	Transmitter constellation error							
17.3.10.2	Receiver maximum input sensitivity							
17.3.10.5	Receiver maximum input level							
IEEE 802.11b measurements								
15.4.5.2	Transmit power levels							
15.4.5.5	Transmit spectrum mask							
15.4.5.6	Transmit center frequency tolerance							
15.4.5.7	Chip clock frequency tolerance							
15.4.5.10	Transmit modulation accuracy							
15.4.6.2	Receiver maximum input sensitivity							
15.4.6.3	Receiver maximum input level							
IEEE 802.11g measurements								
18.4.7.2	Transmit power levels							
18.4.7.3	Transmit spectrum mask							
18.4.7.4	Transmit center frequency tolerance							
18.4.7.5	Symbol clock frequency tolerance							
17.3.9.7.2	Transmitter center frequency leakage							
17.3.9.7.4	Transmitter constellation error							
18.4.8.2	Receiver maximum input sensitivity							
17.3.10.5	Receiver maximum input level							

Table 1. Solution test coverage



IEEE 802.11n measurements											
19.3.18.1	9.3.18.1 Transmit spectrum mask										
19.3.18.2	Spectral flatness										
19.3.18.3	Transmit power										
19.3.18.4	Transmit center frequency leakage										
19.3.18.6	Symbol clock frequency tolerance										
19.3.18.7.2	Transmitter spectral flatness										
19.3.18.7.3	Transmitter constellation error										
19.3.19.1	Receiver maximum input sensitivity										
19.3.19.4	Receiver maximum input level										
IEEE 802.11ac measurements											
21.3.17.1	Transmit spectrum mask										
21.3.17.2	Spectral flatness										
21.3.17.3	Transmit center frequency and symbol clock frequency tolerance										
21.3.17.4.2	Transmit center frequency leakage										
21.3.17.4.3	Transmitter constellation error										
21.3.18.1	Receiver maximum input sensitivity										
21.3.18.4	Receiver maximum input level										
IEEE 802.11ax me	easurements										
27.3.19.1 Transmit spectrum mask											
27.3.19.2	Spectral flatness										
27.3.19.3	Transmit center frequency and symbol clock frequency tolerance										
27.3.19.4.2	Transmit center frequency leakage										
27.3.19.4.3	Transmitter constellation error										
27.3.20.2	Receiver maximum input sensitivity										
27.3.20.5	Receiver maximum input level										
General-purpose measurements											
AP and client Receiver minimum input sensitivity search											
AP and client	Throughput										
AP	RvR										

Property 1994 10 Actional to Table of Alexandra	a philippine Albert	in the second lite	a beautiful the state	ADDA INC AND	-											-	
and had block whether with the																	
File test lotte watches soon rep																	
	i the D					Overview Result											
21.3.17.1 Transmit apoctrum mask		Star Taw															
21.0.17.2 Spectral flatness									and the second se								
21.3.17.3 Trainsmit center frequency and symbol clock fre	sum .	2022.11.02_21.50.17 Elapsed Time 0.00.01.45/0.00.01.45								Text Station PC Name K E751985-21323							
21.3.17.4.2 Transmit center frequency leakage	64									RPA Varaise 8.1.8341.39641							
21.3.17 4.3 Transmitter constellation error										Raw Tust Mode Islandard Mode RA Venian 0.1.25 10278							
21.3.18.1 Reciever minimum input sensitivity.																	
21.3.18.4 Reciever maximum input level	Lo	op:															
802.11g Mode_2.4GHz_20MHz_SIS0																	
C 18.4.7.2 Transmit Power Levels																	
2 18.4.7.3 Transmit spectrum mask	ie le	lestan															
18.4.7.4 Transmit center frequency tolerance				22/22													
18.4.7.5 Sembol clock trequency tolerance		nditos															
2 12 3 9 2 2 Transmitter center frequency leaking 11d		Passed 22 100.00 %															
12 17 3 9 7 A Townships constellation error (1m)								Skipped		0.00 %							
								□ Notsec	. 9	0.00 %							
Detail Dave		_			_		_	_	_			_	_				
BandContainto TestCase	Bird	Bindyldth	SCS ARFON	Enquercy		NDCCombolinly OFOM	Modulation R	SLucelet C	andition	Tiere	Measured/aule	Unit	Verlict	LowerLimit	OpperLimit		
21.3.17.2 Spectral Network	852 11ac 50Hz	COMP (c		5805	20			2	KL.	Sector/2 Max Point To Upper	4.117	40	Pess				
21 3 17 2 Spectral Battersa	882 flac SOlf	dimete	161	5805	20				41.	Section2 Min Paint To Lewer	4 802	dil	Pees	à			
21.3.17.3 Transmit center troquency and symbol do	882 the SGHz	ADMINE		5805						Symbol Clk Empr		ppm	Pass				
21.3 17.4.2 Transmit center frequency leokage	882 Trac SGHz	BUMPtz		5805		Dace	od		KL.	CF Leakage	-54.075		Pass	NaN	-14.2068		
21.3 17.4.3 Transmitter constellation error	MIZ Hat_SGHz	STARL:		5805		rass	eu			EVM			Fee				
21.3.17.4.3 Transmitter constallation server	802 1Tac_5GHz	DOMPS:		5005					KL.	Frequency Emir	-7546,730		Netlet	NaN	NeN:		
21.3.17.4.3 Transmitter constellation error	802 that 5GHz	BEMIN:	161	5805	20			1	et.	IQ Offsat	-65.278	dĐ	NIGHT	NaN	NIN		
21.3 17.4 3 Transmitter constellation error	882 11ac_5GHz	SEMITIC		5805				i.	et.	Symbol Clock Error	1.500	ppm.	NetSet	NaN	NaN		
21 3 17 # 3 Trainsmillion remotalization areas	All5 Har A/SHe	A7881+		43915						MAR Indus	9.035		Berlat	Nuki	NeN		
Log Result																	
Progress: Case(22/22) Condition(1/1) Loop(1/1) Stuff	rs: Test Plan Cor	mpleted											Uspe	d time: 0.001	1 45/0.00.01	45	

Figure 9 shows an example of automated results for a Wi-Fi design.

Figure 9. Example results with S8702A RFA

#### Lab environment

All testing described above must occur using cable in a controlled lab environment. Performing this test OTA outside of a lab is not feasible because of varying channel conditions and measurement imprecision, leading to gross unexpected outcomes that could well result from environmental factors.

Having a lab environment that produces controlled, automated, repeatable results is key. The lab needs an RF chamber to shield the DUT from unwanted RF interference. Testing should establish a baseline result to compare with future results. For example, assess DUT Tx RF quality first before moving on to RvR.



## **Ensure the RF Performance of WLAN Designs**

The Keysight solution enables you to test the performance of Wi-Fi AP and clients with confidence. With the E7515W UXM 5G, S8714A RA, S8702A RFA, and S8703A functional KPI, optimizing performance is simple. Our solutions provide the following:

- A platform and software dedicated to WLAN testing with signaling.
- Full coverage of IEEE 802.11a through 802.11be.
- Throughput and RvR measurements.
- Easy-to-use automation based on IEEE definitions.

A simple software upgrade provides Wi-Fi 6E, 6, and previous technology performance testing when using existing E7515B UXM 5G hardware.

## **Verify Performance Across the Workflow**

Keysight solutions for WLAN provide comprehensive testing during all phases of the design workflow to accelerate time to market and reduce failures seen by end users.

For more information, visit these Keysight websites:

- WLAN Testing
- E7515W UXM Wireless Connectivity Test Platform
- S8702A RF Automation Toolset
- S8703A Functional KPI Toolset
- S8714A RF Application
- Everything You Need to Know About Wi-Fi 7

Keysight enables innovators to push the boundaries of engineering by quickly solving design, emulation, and test challenges to create the best product experiences. Start your innovation journey at www.keysight.com.



This information is subject to change without notice. © Keysight Technologies, 2024, Published in USA, January 31, 2024, 3124-1065.EN